

Final Report for CIRCAC Intertidal Reconnaissance Survey in Upper Cook Inlet

Prepared for

**Cook Inlet Regional Citizens Advisory Council
910 Highland Avenue
Kenai, AK 99611-8033**

Prepared by

**Dennis C. Lees
Littoral Ecological & Environmental Services
1075 Urania Avenue
Leucadia, CA 92024**

**William B. Driskell
6536 20th Avenue SE
Seattle, WA 98115**

**James R. Payne
Payne Environmental Consultants, Inc.
317 N. El Camino Real, Suite 201
Encinitas, CA 92024**

**Miles O. Hayes
Research Planning, Inc.
1121 Park St.
Columbia, SC 29201**

10 September 2001

*In memory of Papa Charlie Chuck Rediske,
Pilot, guide, and companion extraordinaire*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION NUMBER	SECTION NAME	PAGE
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
I.	INTRODUCTION.....	1
A.	Purpose of the Survey	1
B.	Survey Objectives.....	2
1.	Intertidal Ecology	2
2.	Coastal Geomorphology	2
3.	Sediments.....	2
4.	Tissues	2
C.	Survey Area and Sites Examined	3
D.	Logistics.....	3
II.	METHODS AND MATERIALS.....	6
A.	Physical Variables	6
1.	Station Location and Description.....	6
2.	Intertidal Elevations.....	6
3.	Sediment Characteristics.....	8
B.	Biological Variables	8
1.	Macrofauna	8
2.	Infauna	9
C.	Chemical Variables	9
1.	Sample Selection and Target Analytes.....	9
2.	Laboratory Methods	12
3.	Data Analysis and Quality Assurance/Quality Control.....	13
D.	Statistical Analysis	13
III.	RESULTS.....	19

A.	Physical and Geomorphological Site Descriptions.....	19
1.	East Side of Middle and Upper Cook Inlet (6 sites).....	19
	a) Clam Gulch.....	19
	b) Kalifornsky Beach.....	25
	c) Boulder Point.....	29
	d) Bishop Beach.....	32
	e) Moose Point.....	35
	f) Chickaloon Bay.....	39
2.	Middle of Middle and Upper Cook Inlet (8 sites).....	43
	a) Shoal South of Kalgin Island.....	43
	b) SE Kalgin Island.....	44
	c) Oldmans Bay, Kalgin Island.....	52
	d) Shoal West of Kalgin Island.....	56
	e) NE Kalgin Island (Light Point).....	57
	f) NW Kalgin Island.....	62
	g) Shoal North of Kalgin Island.....	64
	h) Middle Ground Shoal.....	68
3.	West Side of Middle and Upper Cook Inlet (11 sites).....	68
	a) NE Chisik Island.....	68
	b) North Tuxedni Bay.....	76
	c) Polly Creek.....	78
	d) No-name Creek Beach.....	81
	e) Redoubt Creek.....	86
	f) Harriet Point North.....	88
	g) Old Cannery Creek, SW of Drift River.....	92
	h) West Foreland South.....	96
	i) West Foreland North.....	100
	j) Nikolai Creek.....	103
	k) Beluga River SW.....	105
B.	Biota at Sites.....	110
1.	Macrobiota.....	110
	a) East Side of Middle and Upper Cook Inlet.....	110
	b) Middle of Middle and Upper Cook Inlet.....	118
	c) West Side of Middle and Upper Cook Inlet.....	121
2.	Infauna.....	126
	a) Macroinfauna Statistical Analyses.....	126
	b) Analysis of Infaunal Cores.....	131

C.	Chemistry	140
1.	Quality Assurance/Quality Control	140
	a) Data Quality Indicators	140
	b) Field and Laboratory Blanks.....	142
2.	Source Characterization.....	149
	a) Oils and Produced Water	149
	b) Cook Inlet Coal Samples	154
	c) Eroding Peat	165
3.	Intertidal Sediments.....	166
	a) East Side of Middle and Upper Cook Inlet	167
	b) Middle of Middle and Upper Cook Inlet.....	177
	c) West Side of Middle and Upper Cook Inlet.....	188
4.	Tissue Hydrocarbons	201
	a) East Side of Cook Inlet	203
	b) Middle of Cook Inlet.....	209
	c) West Side of Inlet.....	213
5.	FID/GC Pattern Analyses and Additional Comments on Biogenic Component Source Identifications and Geographic Distributions.....	223
	a) FID/GC Source Characterization.....	225
	b) Coal Characterization.....	228
	c) Eroding Peat Characterization	228
	d) Sediment Analyses	236
	e) Tissues	239
IV.	DISCUSSION	251
A.	Sediment Conditions	251
B.	Physical and Biological Conditions and Sampling Potential	254
C.	Most Abundant Species and Potential Sentinels	254
D.	Level of Contamination.....	257
1.	Coal Samples.....	257
2.	Eroding Peat	258
3.	Intertidal Sediment Samples	259
4.	Intertidal Tissues	261
E.	Factors Influencing Distribution of Long-lived Infaunal Organisms	266
1.	Upper Elevation of Significant Infauna Abundance	266

2.	Substrate Type.....	267
3.	Hydrodynamic Intensity	269
	a) Currents	269
	b) Waves	269
4.	Characterizing Biota.....	271
	a) Algal Films.....	271
	b) <i>Macoma balthica</i>	271
	c) <i>Abarenicola pacifica</i>	271
	d) <i>Mya arenaria</i>	272
	e) <i>Siliqua patula</i>	272
	f) Burrowing Worms.....	273
5.	General Patterns in Macroinfauna.....	273
6.	Organic Particulates for Nutrition	276
7.	Turbidity	276
8.	Water and Air Temperature	277
9.	Reduced Salinity	277
10.	Life History and Longevity.....	277
11.	Settlement and Recruitment Success.....	278
12.	Predation	278
13.	Bioturbation	279
14.	Conclusions on Important Factors.....	279
V.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.....	284
A.	Sediment Conditions	284
B.	Sampling Potential and Biological Conditions.....	285
C.	Potential Sentinel Species.....	287
D.	Level of Contamination.....	287
E.	Factors Influencing Distribution of Long-lived Infaunal Organisms	289
VI.	LITERATURE CITED	291

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1.	Approximate locations for sites visited during August/September 2000 intertidal reconnaissance in upper Cook Inlet.	5
Figure 3-1.	Beach profiles for sampling locations on the east side of middle and upper Cook Inlet.	21
Figure 3-2	Particle grain size profiles for sites examined on the east side of middle and upper Cook Inlet.	22
Figure 3-3.	Photographs showing site characteristics at Clam Gulch.....	24
Figure 3-4.	Photographs showing site characteristics at Kalifornsky Beach.....	28
Figure 3-5.	Photographs showing site characteristics at Boulder Point.....	31
Figure 3-6.	Photographs showing site characteristics at Bishop Beach.	34
Figure 3-7.	Photographs showing site characteristics at Moose Point.....	38
Figure 3-8.	Crustal deformations associated with the great Alaskan earthquake of 1964.....	41
Figure 3-9.	Photographs showing site characteristics at Chickaloon Bay.....	42
Figure 3-10.	Particle grain size profiles for sites examined in the middle of middle and upper Cook Inlet.	45
Figure 3-11.	Photographs showing site characteristics at shoal south of Kalgin Island.	47
Figure 3-12.	Beach profiles for sampling locations in the middle of middle and upper Cook Inlet.....	48
Figure 3-13.	Photographs showing site characteristics at SE Kalgin Island.	51
Figure 3-14.	Photographs showing site characteristics at Oldmans Bay, Kalgin Island.	54
Figure 3-15.	Photograph showing site characteristics at shoal west of Kalgin Island.	58
Figure 3-16.	Photographs showing site characteristics at NE Kalgin Island.....	61
Figure 3-17.	Photographs showing site characteristics at NW Kalgin Island.	65
Figure 3-18.	Photographs showing site characteristics at shoal north of Kalgin Island.	67

Figure 3-19.	Beach profiles for sampling locations on the west side of middle and upper Cook Inlet.	70
Figure 3-20.	Particle grain size profiles for sites examined on the west side of middle and upper Cook Inlet.	72
Figure 3-21.	Photographs showing site characteristics at NE Chisik Island.	74
Figure 3-22.	Photographs showing site characteristics at No. Tuxedni Bay.	79
Figure 3-23.	Photographs showing site characteristics at Polly Creek.	82
Figure 3-24.	Photographs showing site characteristics at No-name Creek.	85
Figure 3-25.	Photographs showing site characteristics at Redoubt Creek.	89
Figure 3-26.	Photographs showing site characteristics at Harriet Point North.	93
Figure 3-27.	Photographs showing site characteristics at Old Cannery Creek.	95
Figure 3-28.	Photographs showing site characteristics at West Foreland South.	98
Figure 3-29.	Photographs showing site characteristics at West Foreland North.	102
Figure 3-30.	Photographs showing site characteristics at Nikolai Creek.	106
Figure 3-31.	Photographs showing site characteristics at Beluga River SW.	109
Figure 3-32.	Distribution and relative abundance of several important macroinfaunal organisms in middle and upper Cook Inlet during the CIRCAC reconnaissance survey in August-September 2000.	111
Figure 3-33.	Relationship between number of macrobiotic taxa and latitude on the basis of substrate	115
Figure 3-34.	Clusters of dominant macroinfaunal species at intertidal sites based on qualitative (presence/absence) data from upper Cook Inlet sites.	129
Figure 3-35.	Station clusters of intertidal sites based on qualitative (presence/absence) data of dominant macroinfaunal species at upper Cook Inlet sites.	130
Figure 3-36.	Station clusters of intertidal sites in upper Cook Inlet inhabited by macroinfauna based on qualitative species abundance.	132
Figure 3-37.	Station cluster of intertidal sites in upper Cook Inlet based on presence/absence data of species in infaunal cores using Jaccard similarity index.	136

Figure 3-38. Species cluster of infauna in intertidal cores from upper Cook Inlet based on presence/absence data using Jaccard similarity index. 137

Figure 3-39. Species cluster of infauna in intertidal cores from upper Cook Inlet based on abundance data using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index. 138

Figure 3-40. Station cluster of intertidal sites in upper Cook Inlet based on abundance of infaunal species in intertidal cores using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index. 139

Figure 3-41. PAH histogram plots of I-Chem sample jar DCM rinse, DI water used to prepare Field Blanks, wooden sampling scoop, and Field Blanks from NW Kalgin Island and Polly Creek..... 146

Figure 3-42. SHC histogram plots of I-Chem sample jar DCM rinse, DI water used to prepare Field Blanks, wooden sampling scoop, and Field Blanks from NW Kalgin Island and Polly Creek..... 147

Figure 3-43. PAH histogram plots of North Tuxedni Bay and Old Cannery Creek Field Blanks and representative sediment and tissue Laboratory Method Blanks. 148

Figure 3-44. SHC histogram plots of North Tuxedni Bay and Old Cannery Creek Field Blanks and representative sediment and tissue Laboratory Method Blanks. 150

Figure 3-45. Comparison of chemistry profiles for Cook Inlet (CI) and Alaska North Slope (ANS) crude oils..... 152

Figure 3-46. Comparison of chemistry profile data for Cook Inlet (CI) crude oil and produced water (PW) released from the Trading Bay Tanker Facility (TF)..... 153

Figure 3-47. Comparison of chemistry profile data for 1998 Homer coal (analyzed by A.D. Little) and 2000 Clam Gulch coal (analyzed by Woods Hole Group). 156

Figure 3-48. Comparison of chemistry profile data for two 1998 Nikiski coal samples analyzed by A.D. Little for the 1998 EMP Data Synthesis program..... 157

Figure 3-49. Comparison of chemistry profile data from Beluga River SW coal samples. 159

Figure 3-50. Comparison of chemistry profile data from Beluga coal field sample 4 (1998) and North Foreland seam (2000) coal samples..... 160

Figure 3-51. Comparison of 1998 Beluga coal field coal samples at higher attenuation to show relative abundance of perylene versus other PAH..... 161

Figure 3-52. Comparison of 1998 Beluga coal field coal samples at lower attenuation to allow evaluation of lower concentration components. 162

Figure 3-53. Comparison of chemistry profile data for particulate coal samples collected from the shoreline at Beluga River SW and the shoal north of Kalgin Island..... 163

Figure 3-54. Comparison of chemistry profile data for particulate coal samples collected from No-name Creek and the shoal north of Kalgin Island. 164

Figure 3-55. Chemistry profile data for eroding peat from Captain Cook State Park at two attenuations to allow evaluation of lower-concentration components. 166

Figure 3-56. Chemistry and PGS profiles for Clam Gulch sediment replicates..... 170

Figure 3-57. Chemistry and PGS profiles for Kalifornsky Beach sediment Rep. 1 and an eroding peat reference sample. 171

Figure 3-58. Chemistry and PGS profiles for Boulder Point sediment Rep. 1 and a Clam Gulch coal reference sample..... 172

Figure 3-59. Chemistry and PGS profiles for Boulder Point sediment Rep. 1 and a North Shoal coal reference sample..... 173

Figure 3-60. Chemistry and PGS profiles for Bishop Beach sediment Rep. 1 and an eroding peat reference sample..... 174

Figure 3-61. Chemistry and PGS profiles for Moose Point sediment replicates.... 176

Figure 3-62. Chemistry and PGS profiles for Chickaloon Bay sediment Rep. 1 and an eroding peat reference sample 177

Figure 3-63. Chemistry and PGS profiles for South Shoal sediment replicates..... 178

Figure 3-64. Chemistry and PGS profiles for SE Kalgin Island sediment replicates..... 179

Figure 3-65. Chemistry and PGS profiles for Oldmans Bay sediment replicates. . 180

Figure 3-66. Chemistry and PGS profiles for West Shoal sediment replicates..... 181

Figure 3-67. Chemistry and PGS profiles for NE Kalgin Island sediment Rep. 1 and an eroding peat reference sample. 182

Figure 3-68. Chemistry and PGS profiles for NE Kalgin Island sediment Rep. 1 and the North Shoal coal reference sample. 183

Figure 3-69.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for NW Kalgin Island sediment replicates.....	184
Figure 3-70.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for North Shoal sediment replicates.....	185
Figure 3-71.	Comparison of chemistry profile data from sediments and isolated coal particles collected from North Shoals.....	186
Figure 3-72.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for Middle Ground Shoals sediment replicates.....	188
Figure 3-73.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for NE Chisik Island sediment Rep. 2 and an eroding peat reference sample.	189
Figure 3-74.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for North Tuxedni Bay sediment Rep. 1 and an eroding peat reference sample.	190
Figure 3-75.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for Polly Creek sediment Rep. 1 and a fine particulate coal reference sample.	191
Figure 3-76.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for No-name Creek sediment Rep. 2 and fine particulate coal collected from the same location.	192
Figure 3-77.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for Redoubt Creek sediment replicates.....	194
Figure 3-78.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for Harriet Point sediment Rep. 1 and a North Shoal coal reference sample.....	195
Figure 3-79.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for Old Cannery Creek sediment Rep. 1 and an eroding peat reference sample.	196
Figure 3-80.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for West Foreland South sediment replicates.....	197
Figure 3-81.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for West Foreland North sediment replicates.....	198
Figure 3-82.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for Nikolai Creek sediment Rep. 1 and an eroding peat reference sample.....	199
Figure 3-83.	Chemistry and PGS profiles for Beluga River SW sediment Rep. 1 and an eroding peat reference sample.	200
Figure 3-84.	Chemistry profiles for mussel <i>Mytilus trossulus</i> and sediment collected from Clam Gulch.....	204
Figure 3-85.	Chemistry profiles for two of three razor clam (<i>Siliqua patula</i>) replicates collected from Clam Gulch.....	205

Figure 3-86.	Chemistry profiles for <i>Macoma balthica</i> and sediment collected from Kalifornsky Beach.	206
Figure 3-87.	Chemistry profiles for <i>Macoma balthica</i> and sediment collected from Bishop Beach.....	207
Figure 3-88.	Chemistry profiles for <i>Macoma balthica</i> and sediment collected from Chickaloon Bay.	208
Figure 3-89.	Chemistry profiles for razor clam (<i>Siliqua patula</i>) tissues and sediment collected from SE Kalgin Island.	209
Figure 3-90.	Chemistry profiles for softshell clam (<i>Mya arenaria</i>) and <i>Macoma balthica</i> collected from Oldmans Bay, Kalgin Island.....	210
Figure 3-91.	Chemistry profiles for softshell clam (<i>Mya arenaria</i>) replicates 2 and 3 collected from Oldmans Bay, Kalgin Island.	212
Figure 3-92.	Chemistry profiles for softshell clam (<i>Mya arenaria</i>) and <i>Macoma balthica</i> collected from NE Kalgin Island.....	213
Figure 3-93.	Chemistry profiles for <i>Macoma balthica</i> tissues and sediment collected from NE Chisik Island.....	214
Figure 3-94.	Chemistry profiles for <i>Macoma balthica</i> tissues and sediment collected from North Tuxedni Bay.	215
Figure 3-95.	Chemistry profiles for softshell clam (<i>Mya arenaria</i>) and <i>Macoma balthica</i> collected from North Tuxedni Bay.....	216
Figure 3-96.	Chemistry profiles for razor clam (<i>Siliqua patula</i>) tissues and sediment collected from Polly Creek.	218
Figure 3-97.	Chemistry profiles for razor clam (<i>Siliqua patula</i>) tissues and coal fragments collected from No-name Creek Beach.....	219
Figure 3-98.	Chemistry profiles for razor clam (<i>Siliqua patula</i>) tissues and sediment collected from No-name Creek Beach.	220
Figure 3-99.	Chemistry profiles for mussel (<i>Mytilus trossulus</i>) and <i>Macoma balthica</i> tissue samples collected from Redoubt Creek.....	221
Figure 3-100.	Chemistry profiles for <i>Macoma balthica</i> tissues and sediment collected from West Foreland South.....	222
Figure 3-101.	Chemistry profiles for <i>Macoma balthica</i> tissues and sediment collected from Nikolai Creek.....	224
Figure 3-102	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of Cook Inlet crude oil reference sample.*	226

Figure 3-103.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of Alaska North Slope crude oil reference sample.*	227
Figure 3-104.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of Beluga River SW "chunks" coal source reference sample.*	229
Figure 3-105.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of North Foreland "seam" coal source reference sample.*.....	230
Figure 3-106.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of Beluga River SW "fines" coal source reference sample.*	231
Figure 3-107.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of North Shoal coal source reference sample.*	232
Figure 3-108.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of Clam Gulch coal source reference sample.*	233
Figure 3-109.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of No-name Creek coal source reference sample.*	234
Figure 3-110.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of Captain Cook State Park eroding peat source reference sample.*.....	235
Figure 3-111.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of sediment replicate 2 from North Tuxedni Bay.*	237
Figure 3-112.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of sediment replicate 2 from NE Kalgin Island.*	238
Figure 3-113.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of razor clam composite replicate 3 from Clam Gulch.*	241
Figure 3-114.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of mussel composite replicate 1 from Clam Gulch.*	242
Figure 3-115.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of <i>Macoma balthica</i> composite from Bishops Creek.*	243
Figure 3-116.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of <i>Macoma balthica</i> composite from NE Kalgin.*	244
Figure 3-117.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of razor clam composite from No-name Creek.*	245
Figure 3-118.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of <i>Macoma balthica</i> composite from Redoubt Creek.*	246
Figure 3-119.	Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of mussel composite from Redoubt Creek.*	247

Figure 3-120. Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of *Mya arenaria* composite 1 from Oldmans Bay.* 249

Figure 3-121. Flame ionization detector gas chromatographic profile of *Macoma balthica* composite from Oldmans Bay.* 250

Figure 4-1. Relationships among particle grain size, TOC, TKN, and C:N ratios. 253

Figure 4-2. Comparison of PAH signal in peat (Peat Index) and Total Organic Carbon (TOC). 262

Figure 4-3. Peat PAH Signal vs. Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen. 263

Figure 4-4. PAH histograms for eroding peat and selected tissues from Oldmans Bay and West Foreland South..... 264

Figure 4-5. AHC histograms for eroding peat and selected tissues from Oldmans Bay and West Foreland South..... 265

Figure 4-6. Factor likely to play a role in the distribution and abundance of macroinfauna in upper Cook Inlet and suspected interrelationships. 281

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1. Sites visited in during intertidal reconnaissance survey in upper Cook Inlet, August – September, 2000 3

Table 2-1. Estimated level of most recent high and low tides* around surveys for specified locations and dates. 7

Table 2-2. Target analytes and abbreviation designators used in figures in this report. 11

Table 2-3. Other analytical chemistry descriptors used in this report. 13

Table 3-1. Summary of particle grain size, Total Organic Carbon, and Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen parameters for intertidal sediments in middle and upper Cook Inlet in August-September 2000..... 20

Table 3-2. Summary of general data and macrobiotic assemblage statistics at selected intertidal sites in upper Cook Inlet based on reconnaissance survey in August-September 2000..... 112

Table 3-3. Summary of quantitative or qualitative data for macroinvertebrates at selected intertidal sites in upper Cook Inlet based on reconnaissance survey in August-September 2000..... 113

Table 3-4.	Summary of quantitative or qualitative cover data for macrobiota at selected intertidal sites in upper Cook Inlet based on reconnaissance survey in August-September 2000.....	114
Table 3-5.	Macroinfaunal data from upper Cook Inlet sites, where 0 = absent, 1 = sparse, 2 = common, 3 = abundant.....	127
Table 3-6	Average abundance of infauna in core samples from intertidal sites in middle and upper Cook Inlet, Aug-Sept 2000.	133
Table 3-7.	Aromatic and aliphatic hydrocarbons measured in field and laboratory method blanks.	143
Table 3-8.	Aromatic and aliphatic hydrocarbons (plus selected TOC and TKN values) measured in crude oil, coal, and eroding peat source-characterization samples.....	151
Table 3-9.	Aromatic and aliphatic hydrocarbons measured in intertidal sediment samples.	167
Table 3-10.	Aromatic and aliphatic hydrocarbons measured in tissues of intertidal organisms.....	201
Table 4 –1.	Summary of upper Cook Inlet regional sediment characteristics.	251
Table 4-2.	Summary of selected sediment characteristics, elevation of macrobiotic assemblages, tidal and wave intensities, and selected biotic features.....	268
Table 4-3.	Relationship of numbers of target and total macroinfaunal taxa and estimated macroinfaunal density to sediment types.....	274
Table 5-1.	Sampling worthiness of the sites examined during CIRCAC reconnaissance survey in August-September 2000 based on occurrence of sentinel species.....	286

LIST OF APPENDICES

[APPENDICES NOT INCLUDED IN DRAFT REPORT]

Appendix A - Sample Inventory

Appendix B - Particle Grain Size

Appendix C - Quadrat Data for Macrobiota

Appendix D Infaunal Data

Appendix E - Sediment Hydrocarbons (PAH and Aliphatics)

Appendix F - Sediment Biomarkers

Appendix G - Tissue Hydrocarbons

Appendix H - Naturally Occurring Source Materials

Appendix I - Blanks and QA/QC Data

Final Report for CIRCAC Intertidal Reconnaissance in Upper Cook Inlet

I. Introduction

An intertidal reconnaissance was conducted in upper Cook Inlet from 26 August to 1 September 2000 for the Cook Inlet Regional Citizens Advisory Council. During that period, we visited 24 sites in the upper inlet. These extended, on the west side of the inlet, from Chisik Island to the Beluga River, and, on the east side, from Clam Gulch to Chickaloon Bay. In the middle of the inlet, sites were visited from a shoal south of Kalgin Island to a shoal north north of the island and included four sites on the island. An additional site, Middle Ground Shoal, flooded by tide at the time of the initial survey attempt, was surveyed by CIRCAC staff on 29 September 2000.

A. Purpose of the Survey

The CIRCAC Environmental Monitoring Committee (EMC), created in response to the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, initiated several environmental studies between 1993 and 1997 as part of the overall Environmental Monitoring Program (EMP). The major objective of the EMP has been to determine if oil-industry operations in Cook Inlet are having adverse effects on the surrounding ecosystem. If such impacts were detected, these studies were also intended to document the sources and magnitude of the impacts and spatial and temporal trends.

To evaluate the influence of oil-industry operations in Cook Inlet, as determined by CIRCAC's EMP, Littoral Ecological & Environmental Services (LEES) conducted an extensive review of the database and summarized the findings (Lees et al. 1999). The goals of this analysis were to:

- Summarize, analyze, and synthesize a large portion of the existing data, including NPDES permits and reports;
- Evaluate the methods and approaches employed during the EMP;
- Determine the value of the existing database(s) for establishing baseline conditions; and
- Provide recommendations to CIRCAC regarding the design of further monitoring efforts to assess oil-related impacts to the ecosystems in Cook Inlet.

Based on these analyses, a dispersion modeling study, and the summary, LEES recommended a few modifications to CIRCAC's approach to monitoring the oil-industry operations in Cook Inlet. One of these recommendations was that CIRCAC should concentrate their monitoring efforts in intertidal habitats in regions closer to the oil production facilities in upper Cook Inlet. As part of this recommendation, LEES noted

that the biota of intertidal habitats in the middle and upper inlet and locations supporting potential sentinel organisms for monitoring effects of oil-industry operations were very poorly known and recommended conduct of a reconnaissance survey to fill these data gaps.

These data gaps were a major focus of this reconnaissance program. We placed emphasis on identifying populations of potential sentinel organisms that are large enough to support reliable and periodic monitoring to assess contamination from oil-industry operations.

B. Survey Objectives

1. Intertidal Ecology

The ecological objectives of this program were to:

- Provide general descriptions of the species composition and distribution of the intertidal biota at each site that was visited during this reconnaissance; and
- Identify populations of clams that could be utilized as sentinel species in either the long-term monitoring program for oil-industry operations or in the event of a catastrophic spill.

2. Coastal Geomorphology

The geomorphological objectives of this program were to:

- Provide site-specific geomorphological assessments of the various sites that are visited during this reconnaissance;
- Integrate the biological and geomorphological characterizations to provide a better understanding of the processes affecting intertidal communities.

3. Sediments

The objectives of the sediment collection element of the reconnaissance were to provide:

- Descriptions of particle grain size, Total Organic Carbon (TOC) and Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN); and
- Sediment samples for analysis of Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH) and aliphatic hydrocarbons.

4. Tissues

The objective of the tissue collection element of the reconnaissance was to provide insight into PAH and aliphatic hydrocarbons contamination in potential sentinel clams.

C. Survey Area and Sites Examined

The 25 sites examined during the intertidal reconnaissance survey are listed in Table 1-1. The general region and the specific location of each of these sites is indicated in Table 1-1 and Figure 1-1. Eleven sites were examined on the west side of the inlet, 8 sites in the middle of the inlet, and 6 sites on the east side of the inlet.

D. Logistics

As mentioned above, three modes of transportation were utilized to access survey sites. Where the road network permitted on the east side of the inlet, we accessed the selected sites by vehicle. Three sites on the east side of the inlet were accessed by wheeled vehicle.

Where beach landings were feasible for a light fixed-wing aircraft, we accessed the selected sites in a Cessna 207 chartered from the late Mr. Chuck (Papa Charlie) Rediske, Rediske Air. Eight sites (three in the middle and five on the west side of the inlet) were accessed in the Cessna 207.

Where neither of the other modes was feasible, we accessed the selected sites by rotary-wing aircraft. We traveled in a Bell Long Ranger (L-1) from Kenai Air, piloted by Mr. Larry Rogers. Fourteen sites (three on the east side, five in the middle, and six on the west side) were accessed by helicopter.

Table 1-1. Sites visited in during intertidal reconnaissance survey in upper Cook Inlet, August – September, 2000.

Location	GPS Coordinates	Location	GPS Coordinates
-----------------	------------------------	-----------------	------------------------

East Side of Inlet (6 sites)

Clam Gulch*	N60° 13.796' W151° 24.402'	Kalifornsky Beach*	N60° 25.869' W151° 17.235'
Boulder Point**	N60° 46.383' W151° 15.498'	Bishop Beach*	N60° 47.112' W151° 04.645'
Moose Point**	N60° 57.145' W150° 41.493'	Chickaloon Bay**	N60° 56.347' W150° 14.943'

Middle of Inlet (8 sites)

Shoal S. of Kalgin Island**	N60° 16.435' W152° 01.971'	1.25 nm SW of SE point of Kalgin Island (Tripod Beach)***	N60° 21.742' W151° 58.727'
NW corner of Oldmans Bay, Kalgin Island**	N60° 23.986' W152° 00.883'	Shoal W. of Kalgin Island**	N60° 26.758' W152° 04.379'
NE corner of Kalgin	N60° 28.982'	NW corner of Kalgin	N60° 30.632'

Island (Light Point)***	W151° 50.173'	Island***	W151° 54.994'
Shoal N. of Kalgin Island**	N60° 36.828' W151° 49. 937'	Middle Ground Shoal**	N60° W151° 20

West Side of Inlet (11 sites)

NE Chisik Island***	N60° 10.958' W152° 07.018'	North Tuxedni Bay***	N60° 13.249' W152° 36.893'
Polly Creek***	N60° 16.569' W152° 28.058'	No-name Creek***	N60° 21.386' W152° 21.347'
Redoubt Creek Beach**	N60° 22.048' W152° 18.427'	Harriet Point North**	N60° 23.908' W152° 14.525'
Old Cannery Creek, 1.25 nm SW of Drift River**	N60° 34.634 W152° 10.059'	West Foreland South**	N60° 43.486' W151° 46.229'
West Foreland North**	N60° 47.719' W151° 45.710'	Nikolai Creek***	N61° 00.661' W151° 26.034'
Beluga River SW**	N61° 7.728' W151° 04.938'		

*Wheeled vehicle

**Helicopter

*** Light fixed-wing aircraft

Figure 1-1. Approximate locations for sites visited during August/September 2000 intertidal reconnaissance in upper Cook Inlet.

II. Methods and Materials

A. Physical Variables

The physical variable examined in this report and discussed in section include: station location and description; intertidal elevations; and sediment characteristics.

1. Station Location and Description

The preliminary site descriptions include discussions of the beach profiles as measured along an established transect, distribution of substrate types, and some biological information, especially information on the occurrences of potential sentinel species. The geomorphological features are relatively stable and therefore described in the present tense. The biological assemblages are less stable and are therefore described in the past tense. In some cases, the beach profile information extends beyond the limits of the transect. Transects were established to cover the portion of a site where samples were collected. We focused on the upper portions of the beaches for two reasons. First, those areas have a greater likelihood of exposure than lower zones during a major event. Second, the upper intertidal zones are easier to sample than lower zones and the period of emersion is greater and many can be accessed during neap as well as spring tides.

2. Intertidal Elevations

Elevations of various features or activities during these intertidal surveys were measured approximately down the beach from the level of the previous high tide wrack line. The elevation of the wrack line was estimated for each specific site based on the difference in predicted high-tide levels of the previous high tide at the nearest sites north and south of the study site and the proportion of the total distance between the predicted sites the measured site is from the southern predicted site. No effort was made to account for east-west offsets in the times or elevations.

The estimated level of the most recent flood tide and the low tide during which the survey was conducted are contained in Table 2-1. These estimates are used to estimate the tidal range experienced by the site on the day of the survey. This range provides a conservative notion of the larger tidal ranges experienced by the sites examined and the surrounding areas.

Table 2-1. Estimated level of most recent high and low tides* around surveys for specified locations and dates.

Region/Location	Date	Estimated Elevation of Most Recent High Tide (feet above MLLW)*	Estimated Elevation of Most Recent Low Tide (relative to MLLW)*	Estimated Tide Range (feet) During Survey
East Side of Cook Inlet				
Clam Gulch	8/26/00	19.3	-0.2	19.5
Kalifornsky Beach	8/27/00	21.6	-1.7	23.3
Boulder Point	8/29/00	25.4	-3.7	29.0
Bishop's Beach	8/27/00	25.0	-1.9	26.9
Moose Point	8/29/00	28.1	-4.0	32.1
Chickaloon Bay, SW corner	8/29/00	29.6	-4.1	33.7
Middle of Cook Inlet				
SE end of Kalgin	8/28/00	20.2	-3.8	24.0
Oldmans Bay, Kalgin Is.	9/1/00	20.6	-2.0	22.6
NW corner of Kalgin	8/31/00	22.0	-3.9	25.8
NE corner of Kalgin	8/28/00	20.7	-4.1	24.7
West Side of Cook Inlet				
NE corner of Chisik Island	8/28/00	19.3	-3.4	22.7
North Tuxedni Bay	8/31/00	21.0	-3.4	24.4
Redoubt Creek Beach	9/1/00	20.2	-1.9	22.1
Harriet Point North	8/30/00	21.8	-4.5	26.3
Old Cannery Creek	9/1/00	21.1	-2.2	23.2
West Foreland South	9/1/00	22.3	-2.1	24.4
West Foreland North	8/30/00	22.3	-4.7	27.0
Nikolai Creek	8/28/00	24.0	-3.9	28.0
Beluga River SW	8/30/00	26.0	-4.5	30.5

* Based on most recent flood and ebb tide levels predicted by Shio software (Anon. 1996) at points north and south of site and proportion of distance of specified site between predicted points

At sites where elevations were estimated, a transect line was laid from the wrack line perpendicularly across the beach toward the water line. A sight level was used to establish the elevation drop on a vertically oriented stadia rod. The position of the stadia

rod on the transect and the vertical drop were recorded for each measurement. Measurements were taken at each point where the slope of the beach or substrate type changed markedly. These data were used to construct the topographic profiles included in the physical description for most sites.

3. Sediment Characteristics

Sediment samples were collected at each site to permit analysis of the physical and chemical characteristics of the beach sediments. Approximately 500 g of sediment were placed in labeled clean glass jars. We collected up to three replicate samples at each location. These were collected in finer material below the base of the beachface at the same elevation at which infaunal and hydrocarbon samples were collected.

These samples were used to analyze for: 1) particle grain size (PGS), 2) TOC, TKN, 3) and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), saturated aliphatic hydrocarbons (SHC), and steranes/triterpanes (S/T). Particle grain size distributions were determined using the ASTM 422 methodology. Sieve sizes employed were #4 (4.76 mm), #10 (2.0 mm), #20 (0.84 mm), #40 (0.42 mm), #60 (0.25 mm), #140 (0.105 mm), and #200 (0.74 mm). All sediment passing through the #200 sieve was classified as silt/clay. Phi equivalents used for determining the parametric values for sediments from each location were based on the equation,

$$\Phi = -\log_{(base\ 2)}(\text{sieve mesh size in mm}).$$

The numbers used in the tables and figures are based on analysis of two replicates from each site.

Estimates for the concentration of the organic constituents in the sediment were based on a single analysis for each site. TOC was analyzed using the ASTM 9060 protocol. TKN was determined by the ASTM 351.2 protocol in a semi-automated mode.

B. Biological Variables

1. Macrofauna

The major epibiotic and infaunal macrofaunal organisms at each site were identified by visual observation. Abundance of these organisms was estimated visually either on a relative basis or by enumeration in replicated 0.25 sq. m. quadrats. In the case of large clams such as razor clams, softshell clams, or Baltic macomas (called mud clams herein), estimates were based on counts of their specific surficial “shows”. In the case of razor clams, these “shows” are dimples in the sand that filled with water or retraced in response to stomping on the sand following placement of each quadrat. In the case of softshell clams, these “shows” are elongate narrow-waisted openings in the surface of the mud. In the case of the mud clams (Baltic macoma), the “shows” are either long meandering or starburst tracks in the mud.

Where abundance of algae or barnacles was evaluated, it was visually estimated as percent cover in the quadrats or as a qualitative description of overall cover in the habitat (Sparse, Moderate, or Abundant).

2. Infauna

Infaunal assemblages were described on the basis of core samples of sediment collected at most appropriate sites. The core sampler is a standard 10-cm diameter “clam gun”. In areas where sediments were sufficiently loose to permit easy penetration, the length of the core samples was 15 cm. At many of the sites, however, the sediment contained considerable quantities of very fine particles or glacial flour and was therefore very compacted and cohesive. At such sites, it was difficult to force the core 15 cm into the sediment. Moreover, most of the infaunal organisms, other than those that could be enumerated in quadrats based on their “shows”, were located in the upper few centimeters of sediment. Consequently, at most sites with this type of sediment, we collected five 3-cm long sections per replicate core sample. This provided increased accuracy in estimation of the abundance of the infaunal organisms at these sites. This approach was also employed at several sandy sites where preliminary digging indicated that the infaunal assemblages were impoverished (e.g., on the shoals in the middle of the inlet). The intent was to increase the surface area of each composited replicate sample.

Following collection, the samples were sieved on 1.0-mm sieves, and fixed with 10-percent buffered seawater-formalin solution in labeled bags. The samples were subsequently washed and preserved in an isopropyl alcohol solution. The samples were sorted and submitted to appropriate taxonomic specialists for identification and enumeration.

C. Chemical Variables

Samples were collected for several types of analyses for hydrocarbons in sediments, tissues, and suspected source materials, including Cook Inlet crude oil, coal particles collected from several intertidal locations, and eroded peat collected from Captain Cook State Park. All samples were frozen the day of collection and shipped in insulated coolers in three batches via overnight courier to the Woods Hole Group Environmental Laboratories in Raynham, MA. The Woods Hole Group Environmental Laboratories were responsible for all chemical analyses completed in the program. All shipments were completed under full chain-of-custody using proprietary electronic and hard copy chain-of-custody protocols. Upon receipt at the laboratory, all samples were inspected for temperature, breakage, and general condition, and sample labels and Chain-of-Custody/Analysis Request Forms were reconciled. All samples were received intact by the laboratory.

1. Sample Selection and Target Analytes

Upon receipt at the Woods Hole Group Environmental Laboratories, all sediment, tissue, and source-characterization (coal and eroded peat) samples were frozen and archived under full chain-of-custody until decisions regarding selection of individual samples for

hydrocarbon analyses could be made by CIRCAC and project team personnel. At that time, aliquots for TKN, PGS, and TOC determinations were removed from the selected sediment samples, and the remaining material was subjected to detailed PAH, SHC, and S/T analyses. All sediment samples were analyzed in duplicate for PAH and SHC; however, due to budget constraints, only one replicate was analyzed for S/T. Likewise, after the decisions regarding tissue sample selection were made, the samples were thawed, dissected, homogenized, and analyzed for PAH and SHC. No S/T analyses were undertaken on the tissue samples. Table 2-2 lists the selected analytes (PAH, SHC, and S/T) targeted for analyses in the program. The table also lists the abbreviations used to denote each analyte in all the histograms, graphics, and figures presented in this report.

In the Technical Evaluation of the Environmental Monitoring Program (EMP) report prepared by Lees et al. (1999), we presented a lengthy and detailed discussion concerning the fact that both of the laboratories previously used in the EMP had had occasional problems with laboratory blanks, and that electronic noise associated with the GC/MS selected ion monitoring (SIM) analyses at one lab caused some problems with low-concentration-level tissues and sediments. As a result, one laboratory consistently eliminated naphthalene from its database in determining total PAH (TPAH), and the other laboratory occasionally reported anomalously high values for TPAH because of the electronic noise problem in samples with high method detection limits (MDLs). Naphthalene was included in the overall database generated for CIRCAC by Lees et al. (1999) to generate a compatible database from the two laboratories that had been involved in the program. As a result, it makes up part of the TPAH reported with all the histograms by Lees et al. (1999). Fortunately, the Woods Hole Group Laboratories have not had any problems with naphthalene contamination in their procedural blanks, and they have not been plagued with the SIM GC/MS instrumental noise problem that contributed to the anomalously high TPAH values previously reported in the EMP. As a result, naphthalene is included in the target analyte list and, as will be discussed later, it is

Table 2-2. Target analytes and abbreviation designators used in figures in this report.

Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH)		Saturated Hydrocarbons (SHC)		Steranes/Triterpanes (S/T)	
<i>Name</i>	<i>Abbre.</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Abbre.</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Abbre.</i>
Naphthalene	N	n-Octane (C8)	C8	5a,14B,17B-pregnane	S/T1
C1-Naphthalenes	N1	n-Nonane (C9)	C9	5a,14B,17B,20-methylpregnane	S/T2
C2-Naphthalenes	N2	n-Decane (C10)	C10	13B,17a-diacholestane(20S)	S/T3
C3-Naphthalenes	N3	n-Undecane (C11)	C11	13B,17a-diacholestane(20R)	S/T4
C4-Naphthalenes	N4	n-Dodecane (C12)	C12	5a,14B,17B-cholestane(20R)	S/T5
Biphenyl	BI	n-Tridecane (C13)	C13	5a,14B,17B-cholestane(20S)	S/T6
Acenaphthylene	AC	n-Tetradecane (C14)	C14	5a,14B,17B,24-methylcholestane(20R)	S/T7
Acenaphthene	AE	n-Pentadecane (C15)	C15	5a,14B,17B,24-methylcholestane(20S)	S/T8
Fluorene	F	n-Hexadecane (C16)	C16	5a,14a,17a,24-ethylcholestane(20S)	S/T9
C1-Fluorenes	F1	n-Heptadecane (C17)	C17	5a,14B,17B,24-ethylcholestane(20R)	S/T10
C2-Fluorenes	F2	Pristane	Pristane	5a,14B,17B,24-ethylcholestane(20S)	S/T11
C3-Fluorenes	F3	n-Octadecane (C18)	C18	5a,14a,17a,24-ethylcholestane(20R)	S/T12
Dibenzothiophene	D	Phytane	Phytane	C20 Diterpane	S/T13
C1-Dibenzothiophenes	D1	n-Nonadecane (C19)	C19	C21 Diterpane	S/T14
C2-Dibenzothiophenes	D2	n-Eicosane (C20)	C20	C22 Diterpane	S/T15
C3-Dibenzothiophenes	D3	n-Heneicosane (C21)	C21	C23 Diterpane	S/T16
C4-Dibenzothiophenes	D4	n-Docosane (C22)	C22	C24 Diterpane	S/T17
Anthracene	A	n-Tricosane (C23)	C23	C25 Diterpane	S/T18
Phenanthrene	P	n-Tetracosane (C24)	C24	C24 Tetracyclic Terpene	S/T19
C1-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	P/A1	n-Pentacosane (C25)	C25	C26 Tricyclic Terpene (1)	S/T20
C2-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	P/A2	n-Hexacosane (C26)	C26	C26 Tricyclic Terpene (2)	S/T21
C3-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	P/A3	n-Heptacosane (C27)	C27	C28 Tricyclic Triterpane (1)	S/T22
C4-Phenanthrenes/Anthracenes	P/A4	n-Octacosane (C28)	C28	C28 Tricyclic Triterpane (2)	S/T23
Fluoranthene	FL	n-Nonacosane (C29)	C29	C29 Tricyclic Triterpane (1)	S/T24
Pyrene	PYR	n-Triacontane (C30)	C30	C29 Tricyclic Triterpane (2)	S/T25
C1-Fluoranthenes/Pyrenes	F/P1	n-Hentriacontane (C31)	C31	18a(H)-22,29,30-trisnorhopane(Ts)	S/T26
C2-Fluoranthenes/Pyrenes	F/P2	n-Dotriacontane (C32)	C32	17a(H)-22,29,30-trisnorhopane(Tm)	S/T27
C3-Fluoranthenes/Pyrenes	F/P3	n-Tritriacontane (C33)	C33	17B(H)-22,29,30-trisnorhopane	S/T28
C4-Fluoranthenes/Pyrenes	F/P4	n-Tetracontane (C34)	C34	17a(H),21B(H)-22,29,30-bisnorhopane	S/T29
Benzo(a)anthracene	BA	n-Pentatriacontane (C35)	C35	17a(H),21B(H)-30-norhopane	S/T30
Chrysene	C	n-Hexatriacontane (C36)	C36	18a(H)-30-Neonorhopane	S/T31
C1-Chrysenes	C1	n-Heptatriacontane (C37)	C37	17B(H),21a(H)-normoretane	S/T32
C2-Chrysenes	C2	n-Octatriacontane (C38)	C38	18a(H)-oleanane	S/T33
C3-Chrysenes	C3	n-Nonatriacontane (C39)	C39	17a(H),21B(H)-hopane - C30H52	S/T34
C4-Chrysenes	C4	n-Tetracontane (C40)	C40	17B(H),21a(H)-moretane	S/T35
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	BB			22S-17a(H),21B(H)-30-homohopane	S/T36
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	BK			22R-17a(H),21B(H)-30-homohopane	S/T37
Benzo(e)pyrene	BEP			17B(H),21B(H)-hopane	S/T38
Benzo(a)pyrene	BAP			17B(H),21a(H)-homomoretane	S/T39
Perylene	PER			Hop-22(29)-ene(diploptene)	S/T40
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	IP			22S-17a(H),21B(H)-30-bishomohopane	S/T41
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	DA			22R-17a(H),21B(H)-30-bishomohopane	S/T42
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	BP			17B(H),21a(H)-bishomomoretane	S/T43
				17B(H),21B(H)-30-homohopane	S/T44
				22S-17a(H),21B(H)-trishomohopane	S/T45
				22R-17a(H),21B(H)-trishomohopane	S/T46
				22S-17a(H),21B(H)-tetrakishomohopane	S/T47
				22R-17a(H),21B(H)-tetrakishomohopane	S/T48
				22S-17a(H),21B(H)-pentakishomohopane	S/T49
				22R-17a(H),21B(H)-pentakishomohopane	S/T50

an important PAH component in several of the sediment and tissue samples considered in the program.

In the previous CIRCAC Environmental Monitoring Programs, perylene was consistently omitted from the TPAH values reported for each study. This has been a common practice among hydrocarbon geochemists because perylene had been largely attributed to biological sources, and it is not a major component in petroleum (LaFlamme and Hites, 1978; Wakeman et al., 1980; Venkatesan, 1988). As discussed in detail by Lees et al. (1999); however, perylene is a major component in the relatively young and immature coal deposits that surround much of Cook Inlet. It was widely observed in most of the sediment samples examined in the EMP, and Lees et al. recommended that in future studies it should be reported in the text/table narratives and not just buried in the database. In this program, we have included both naphthalene and perylene in calculating TPAH to assist in tracing coal- and peat-derived signals in sediment and (to a lesser extent) tissue samples.

2. Laboratory Methods

The selected sediment, tissue, coal, and eroded peat samples were analyzed by the Woods Hole Group Environmental Laboratories using their standard operating procedures (SOPs) developed for NOAA National Status & Trends type applications and petroleum hydrocarbon studies. In this regard, the analytical methods were essentially identical to the previous EMP programs where similar NOAA National Status & Trends based procedures were employed.

Sediment, coal, and eroded peat samples were extracted using pressurized fluid extraction, and extracts were dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated, and then cleaned for sulfur content and polar organic compounds using activated copper and aminopropyl gel or deactivated alumina. Tissue samples were dissected, homogenized, and extracted using pressurized fluid extraction, and extracts were dried over sodium sulfate, concentrated, and subjected to silica gel fractionation for the removal of polar materials (fats and lipids). The aliphatic (F1) and aromatic (F2) fractions were then recombined, concentrated, and further analyzed by flame ionization detector gas chromatography (FID/GC) and selected ion monitoring GC/MS as described below.

Cleaned extracts were spiked with an internal standard and analyzed for SHC by FID/GC following modified EPA Method 8100. Extracts were also analyzed for the alkylated homologue series of PAHs and S/Ts following the Woods Hole Group Standard Operating Procedure *Analysis of Parent and Alkylated PAHs and Selected Heterocyclic Compounds by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry with Selected Ion Monitoring (Revision #1)*. Alaska North Slope crude oil and/or Cook Inlet crude oil were analyzed as part of each analysis run sequence to provide compositional reference alkylated PAH patterns.

In all cases, a minimum of 20 g (wet weight) sediment was extracted, however, limited numbers of certain organisms (*Macoma balthica*) at several sites resulted in slightly smaller sample sizes (generally 10-15 g wet weight, and 3.8 g on one occasion). To aid in graphical presentations and data analyses, sample-size dependent method detection limits (MDLs) are depicted on each plot to optimally convey relative abundance data for different alkylated PAH homologues, individual n-alkanes (plus pristane and phytane), and steranes and triterpanes observed in the samples.

3. Data Analysis and Quality Assurance/Quality Control

As each set of analyses was completed, the Woods Hole Group Environmental Laboratories provided electronic data deliverables (EDDs) as Y2K-compliant Excel files in addition to hardcopy reports. The EDDs were electronically transmitted to both Dr. Payne and Mr. Driskell for initial QA/QC review of data completeness, comparability, and compliance with laboratory QC protocols for precision and accuracy (acceptable surrogate recoveries, method detection limits, matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate (MS & MSD) analyses, standard reference material (SRM) and continuing calibration check sample analyses, acceptable laboratory method blanks, etc.). The hard copy reports and copies of FID/GC chromatograms were sent only to Dr. Payne for evaluation of integration procedures for Total Resolved Constituent (TRC) determinations and general overall GC profile analyses and interpretation. Mr. Driskell was responsible for incorporating all the data into a stand-alone database for data manipulation and developing the plotting routines (visual basic programs) used for pattern recognition analyses and the graphics presented in this report. Table 2-3 summarizes several other analytical chemistry descriptors used in the report along with a listing of the analytical method and data reduction required to generate the data.

Table 2-3. Other analytical chemistry descriptors used in this report.

Chemistry Descriptor	Analytical Method and Data Reduction	Abbreviation
Total n-Alkanes	Sum of specific C8-C40 n-alkanes from FID/GC	TALK
Total Resolved Constituents	Sum of all peaks from FID/GC	TRC
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	Sum of all peaks from FID/GC plus UCM	TPH
Total Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbons	Sum of PAH ¹ from Selected Ion Monitoring GC/MS	TPAH
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	ASTM 351.2 Protocol in semi-automated mode	TKN
Total Organic Carbon	ASTM 9060 Protocol	TOC
Particle Grain Size Analysis	ASTM 422 Method -- Sieve Analysis	PGS

Note 1: Includes naphthalene and perylene in the total.

D. Statistical Analysis

The biological data sets submitted to statistical analyses include observational macroinfaunal data and the infaunal cores. The infaunal core data were quantitative (counts of individuals of each species by replicate) while the macroinfauna observations were either quantitative (counts from quadrats) or semi-quantitative (none, sparse,

common, abundant). Both were sparse data sets (many table entries were empty). Because of the reconnaissance nature of this program, inferential testing was neither required nor employed, i.e., no hypotheses were established to test. Instead, the statistical approach for both data sets was to make the appropriate data transform and then simply run cluster analyses to look for distribution patterns. The core data were $\log(n+1)$ transformed; the macroinfaunal observations became dummy values, 0-3, corresponding to none, sparse, common, abundant, respectively.

For the quantitative cores, the data set was reduced to eliminate the “noise” species that occurred at only one or two stations. For both the cores and the macroinfauna data, two data matrices were constructed for each data set: one approach transformed the data into a Jaccard presence/absence data matrix, and the other approach produced a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix. Each matrix was then clustered twice (once for station clusters and then separately for species clusters) using an unweighted, group-average, agglomerative hierarchical clustering algorithm. The Simclust software package from National Marine Fisheries Service was used for all analyses.

Following clustering, the resulting dendrogram graphs were interpreted for distribution patterns or groupings. Group membership implies a commonality with the fellow members, either with stations with similar species composition or with species that tended to co-occur. Hence, rearranging the station/species abundance table to reflect the cluster groupings facilitates finding the underlying common structure of the group. The final interpretations are made using additional data such as species richness, knowledge of individual species behavior, particle grain size, beach exposure, or other geographic factors.

Several sediment variables were subjected to regression analysis to assess the strength of relationships among them. These included median particle grain size, TOC, TKN, C:N ratios, and TPAH.